



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

gain will enable the bird to turn and mount to a much higher plane than it formerly occupied. On the face of it I think this must strike every reader as extremely improbable and almost nonsensical. The opinion is strengthened as we continue on in the original discussion. Suppose a bird to be soaring at a speed of twenty miles per hour in a current which is itself moving at the same rate. It is very evident that this velocity must have been attained by the bird with almost no assistance from the air current, for the resistance of the air against the soaring bird it practically nothing. It is also evident that, if the bird continues soaring in this current, it must lose the velocity it had attained, and very quickly fall if not assisted in some way. If it descends in an inclined plane, its velocity, so far as the current of air goes, will not be changed in the least, for two reasons. First, it has the same velocity as the air current at starting on its downward path, and hence the air current could not accelerate it any more than if it had continued soaring in a horizontal plane. Second, as just suggested, the resistance of the air is practically nothing, so that the current will have no effect. The assumption that there is some occult assistance given to the bird, because it is going down an inclined plane instead of horizontally, will not be regarded as of any value by any one at all familiar with the simplest principles of mechanics.

But this is not all: an attempt is made to prove this occult assistance from a concrete example. The author takes a ship moving at twenty miles per hour and places upon it an inclined plane, whose vertical height is 13.38 feet, which is the distance through which an object must fall to attain a velocity of twenty miles per hour. Now, if a ball should be allowed to roll down this inclined plane, it would attain, so it is assumed, a velocity of forty miles per hour with respect to the water outside of the ship neglecting friction on the plane. This velocity of forty miles per hour is made up, as the author states, of the twenty miles per hour due to the motion of the ship or the initial velocity, and twenty miles per hour additional due to the acceleration from the fall of 13.38 feet in the descent of the ball on the inclined plane. It is perfectly plain that there is no occult effect coming in so far from the motion of the ship. The author shows that with a velocity of forty miles per hour, if the ball should roll upon an inclined plane fixed off the ship, it would rise to a point more than twenty-six feet higher than the starting point. This conclusion is quite startling, and shows a most serious fallacy in the reasoning. If the ball had rolled up an inclined plane fixed to the ship, it would have risen to exactly the same height as at starting, as was clearly shown by Prof. J. P. Church. That the ball would not rise to any such height will be clearly seen by considering what would happen if it rolled from its first position upon an inclined plane fixed upon the water. In this case it would rise exactly 13.38 feet, and its motion would cease altogether.

The vicious reasoning is brought out very clearly even in the original paper, for the author considers what would happen if the ball fell vertically instead of rolling down the inclined plane. In this case the twenty miles per hour initial velocity he considers as equivalent to a fall of 13.38 feet, and as the inclined plane is 13.38 feet high, the total fall would be equivalent to 26.76 feet, and he shows that with this fall the velocity attained would be 28.28 miles per hour. That is to say, a ball rolling down an inclined plane, where it must meet with a slight resistance, will attain an accelerated velocity of twenty miles per hour due to the fall of 13.38 feet; but, when the same ball falls vertically in free air, and where it meets with no resistance, its acceleration is only 8.28 miles per hour. I am sure nothing farther is needed to show the utter fallacy of all this reasoning.

H. A. HAZEN.

Nov. 21.

PORTRAITS OF HELMHOLTZ.

I THINK it will be of interest to the many admirers of the distinguished physicist, Von Helmholtz, to know that on his recent visit to this country he was induced to sit for a photograph in the gallery of the well-known artist, Mr. Brady, of Washington.

Some most excellent pictures were obtained, copies of which may be obtained by addressing Mr. M. B. Brady, photographer, Washington, D. C.

The prices are: For the largest size, 9x14, \$2.00; intermediate, 8x10, \$1.00; cabinet, 25 cents.

The cabinet size and the others (*unmounted*) will be sent by mail. The larger sizes (mounted) must be sent by express, at the expense of the purchaser—usually 25 to 35 cents.

T. C. MENDENHALL.

Washington, D. C., Nov. 7.

SONGS OF BIRDS.

HAD I not expected that we should have more satisfactory answers to the query as to whether the voices of birds expressed emotion or not, I should have ventured a word before now.

I think any student or observer of birds, who has carefully noted them with his heart in the study, will agree with me when I say that if there is such a thing as expression of emotion in voice, then bird voices most clearly express it. The mere fact that a bird soon forgot his loss and grief, and sang in the natural buoyancy of his spirits, or that another, lame and confined, was yet happy, and expressed his happiness in his song, certainly does not prove lack of emotional expression in the voices of birds.

The untrained ear may fail to detect the difference in the joyful and sorrowful notes of some birds, but surely the ear must be indifferent, indeed, that does not detect plain expression of sentiment or of joy in the happy song, or of sorrow in the disturbed wail of any of the common birds about our doors.

The gift of voice was unquestionably intended as a means of expression to all creatures thus endowed, and wherever our powers of comprehension enable us to hear and understand them aright, we cannot fail to detect expression in them.

This may seem a trivial matter to bring up at this time, but it seems hardly fair that we should pass over the matter without giving to birds and all other creatures their just dues.

B. S. BOWDISH.

Phelps, N. Y., Nov. 1, 1893.

DICTIONARY OF SCIENTIFIC NAMES.

THROUGH your query column, permit me to ask if there has ever been published a pronouncing dictionary of scientific names in use in the study of natural science for the benefit of the young student who does not care to delve too deeply into the study of Latin, and if not, why would not such a publication be a welcome addition to our library?

B. S. BOWDISH.

Phelps, N. Y., Nov. 9, 1893.

ORIGIN OF THE CARVINGS AND DESIGNS OF THE ALASKANS AND VANCOUVRE INDIANS.

A FEW years ago I crossed the ocean on a slow steamer in company with a returning missionary, who had spent fourteen years among the Vancouver Indians. He had with him a large collection of carved implements and *fac simile* drawings of the quaint figures on their boats and other objects. His opinion was that they were Japanese in design; that at some time some people from that country had been blown across the Pacific, and left there traces of their arts, which were perpetuated. He thought there were some traditions among the Indians that pointed that way also.

In looking over the collections at the Exposition this